MIDLAND VALLEY PREPARATORY SCHOOL 2432 Jefferson Davis Hwy Graniteville, SC 29829 K-7 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 292 Students Lilian K. Thomas 803-594-1028 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Linda B. Eldridge 803-641-2428 Dr. John B. Bradley 803-641-2431 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 16 28 7 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 12 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	No
2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

67.1%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

57.4

43.1

Mathematics English/Language Arts

Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced
Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient
Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic
Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE B	Y GRO	IUP							
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Mod
	h/Langua	~							
All Students	149	98.0	37.9	43.6	17.9	0.7	30.0	Yes	Yes
Gender					15.0				
Male	84	96.4	39.2	45.6	15.2	0.0	26.6		
Female	65	100.0	36.1	41.0	21.3	1.6	34.4		
Racial/Ethnic Group	400	00.4	04.0	45.0	00.0	4.0	00.0		
White	106	99.1	31.0	45.0	23.0	1.0	36.0	Yes	Yes
African-American	31	96.8	55.2	37.9	6.9	0.0	13.8	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	407	00.5	07.0	40.0	40.4	0.0	00.0		
Not Disabled	137	98.5	37.2	42.6	19.4	0.8	32.6	1/0	1/0
Disabled	12	91.7	45.5	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A		
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	149	98.0	37.9	43.6	17.9	0.7	30.0		
English Proficiency	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	1/0	1/0
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	149	98.0	37.9	43.6	17.9	0.7	30.0		
Socio-Economic Status	7.1	00.7	44.4	45.0	40.7	0.0	04.0	V	Vaa
Subsidized meals	74	98.7	41.1	45.2	13.7	0.0	21.9	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	74	97.3	34.3	41.8	22.4	1.5	38.8	1	í I

11 11 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 2										
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%										
All Students	149	98.0	53.6	35.0	10.0	1.4	19.3	Yes	Yes	
Gender										
Male	84	96.4	51.9	38.0	7.6	2.5	16.5			
Female	65	100.0	55.7	31.1	13.1	0.0	23.0			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	106	99.1	43.0	43.0	12.0	2.0	24.0	Yes	Yes	
African American	31	96.8	75.9	17.2	6.9	0.0	10.3	I/S	I/S	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Disability Status										
Not Disabled	137	98.5	51.2	36.4	10.9	1.6	20.2			
Disabled	12	91.7	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0	9.1	I/S	I/S	
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	149	98.0	53.6	35.0	10.0	1.4	19.3			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	149	98.0	53.6	35.0	10.0	1.4	19.3			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	74	98.7	54.8	37.0	8.2	0.0	12.3	No	Yes	
Full-pay meals	74	97.3	52.2	32.8	11.9	3.0	26.9			

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

Midiand Valley Preparatory School										
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced			
		Engli	sh/Langu							
Grade 3	32	100.0	25.9	29.6	40.7	3.7	44.4			
Grade 4	17	100.0	31.3	43.8	25.0	N/A	25.0			
Grade 5	19	84.2	75.0	16.7	8.3	N/A	8.3			
Grade 6	18	94.4	31.3	50.0	18.8	N/A	18.8			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 3	19	100.0	31.6	26.3	42.1	N/A	42.1			
Grade 4	33	97.0	31.3	53.1	15.6	N/A	15.6			
Grade 5	31	96.8	50.0	36.7	10.0	3.3	13.3			
Grade 6	33	100.0	51.5	36.4	12.1	N/A	12.1			
Grade 7	33	97.0	21.9	62.5	15.6	N/A	15.6			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
		'	'	!		'	'			
			Mathemat	ioo						
Grade 3	32	100.0	33.3	55.6	11.1	N/A	11.1			
Grade 4	17	100.0	37.5	56.3	6.3	N/A	6.3			
Grade 5	19	84.2	58.3	41.7	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	18	94.4	31.3	56.3	12.5	N/A	12.5			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade o	IN/A	11/7	18/7	111/7	IN/A	18/7	IN/A			
Grade 3	19	100.0	42.1	52.6	5.3	N/A	5.3			
Grade 4	33	97.0	53.1	40.6	6.3	N/A	6.3			
Grade 5	31	96.8	56.7	23.3	16.7	3.3	20.0			
Grade 6	33	100.0	60.6	33.3	6.1	N/A	6.1			
Grade 7	33	97.0	43.8	37.5	15.6	3.1	18.8			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	•	•		•	•	•				

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 292)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	N/R	13.3%	14.6%
Retention rate	6.5%	N/A	3.1%	3.0%
Attendance rate	96.0%	N/A	95.8%	95.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	3.4%		5.5%	5.7%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	2.1%		5.5%	5.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	N/A	17.1%	14.3%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	5.2%	N/A	14.4%	13.9%
Older than usual for grade	4.1%	N/A	4.0%	4.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	2.1%	N/R	0.8%	0.9%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	N/A	N/A	47.4%	48.7%
Continuing contract teachers	N/A	N/A	85.7%	81.7%
Highly qualified teachers**	N/A	N/A	90.4%	90.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	N/A		4.8%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	87.0%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	N/R	N/R	94.9%	94.8%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	I/S 10.8 days	I/S N/R	\$40,619 10.8 days	\$40,566 11.0 days
School	10.0 uays	IN/IN	10.0 days	11.0 days
** **	0.5	N/D	4.0	0.0
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	2.5 20.4 to 1	N/R N/R	4.0 22.2 to 1	3.3 21.3 to 1
Prime instructional time	20.4 to 1	N/R	89.3%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,323	N/A	\$5,609	\$5,821
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	69.1%	N/A	61.4%	61.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	N/R	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	N/R	94.9%	95.0%
SACS accreditation	No	N/R	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A	Good	Good
Highly available at the second in law of the law		Our Dis		tate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		90.49		2.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schools**	92.7%	•	.1%
Highly avalified to a share in this call as the	*	State Obj		e Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*		65.0%		/
Student attendance in this school	. f	95.3%	/o \	'es

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	12	35	28						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	83.3%	80.0%	75.0%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	91.7%	77.1%	75.0%						
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	83.3%	80.0%	81.5%						
*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included									